



Interoffice Memo  
Office of Design Policy & Support

**DATE:** 5/20/2019

**FILE:** P.I.# 0013739  
Camden County / GDOT District 5 - Jesup  
SR 25 Bridge Replacements at Waverly Creek and  
Little Waverly Creek

**FROM:** *for*  Brent Story, State Design Policy Engineer

**TO:** SEE DISTRIBUTION

**SUBJECT:** APPROVED DETOUR REPORT

Attached is the approved Detour Report with Notice of Detour Approval for the above subject project.

Attachment

Distribution:

Hiral Patel, Director of Engineering  
Joe Carpenter, Director of P3  
Albert Shelby, Director of Program Delivery  
Darryl VanMeter, Assistant Director of P3/State Innovative Delivery Administrator  
Kim Nesbitt, Program Delivery Administrator  
Bobby Hilliard, Program Control Administrator  
Paul Tanner, State Transportation Planning Administrator  
Eric Duff, State Environmental Administrator  
Bill DuVall, State Bridge Engineer  
Andrew Heath, State Traffic Engineer  
Erik Rohde, State Project Review Engineer  
Eric Conklin, State Transportation Data Administrator  
Brad Saxon, District Engineer  
Troy Pittman, District Preconstruction Engineer  
Byron Cowart, District Planning & Programming Coordinator  
Tim Williams, Area Manager - D5, A3  
Sean Pharr, Project Manager  
BOARD MEMBER - 1st Congressional District

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STATE OF GEORGIA

## INTERDEPARTMENT CORRESPONDENCE

### DETOUR REPORT

FILE P.I. 0013739 OFFICE Program Delivery  
Project Number N/A  
Camden County  
SR 25 @ Little Waverly Creek & @ Waverly Creek N  
of Woodbine DATE March 11, 2019

FROM Kimberly W. Nesbitt, State Program Delivery Administrator

TO Brent A. Story, PE, State Design Policy Engineer  
Attn: Dave Peters, PE, State Conceptual Design Group Manager

SUBJECT **Request for Detour Approval**

**Description and Project Proposal:** The proposed project would construct replacement bridges for the existing structurally deficient bridges (Sufficiency Ratings = 64.0, 60.3) over Little Waverly Creek and Waverly Creek. The preferred alternative proposes to detour traffic during construction and replace the bridges in the existing locations. The project typical section consists of two (2) 12-foot lanes with a 10-foot shoulder. The approximate project length is 0.51-miles and is located in Camden County with a design speed of 55 MPH.

**Concept Approval Date:** August 7, 2018

**Reason Detour is Required:** Bridge replacement alternatives included replacement in existing locations with an on-site detour as well as replacement to the east and west of the current alignment that would build new bridges in the clear and not require an off-site detour. Those alternatives had larger environmental impacts, higher right-of-way costs, and higher construction costs. See the alternative description tables below. The preferred alternative proposes to detour traffic on the state route system during construction and replace the bridges in their existing locations. The preferred alternative has the least amount of environmental impacts and the lowest construction cost. It is estimated the detour would be in place from 18-24 months.

<b>Alternative 1: Replacement in Existing Location with an Off-Site Detour</b>			
<b>Estimated Property Impacts:</b>	<b>3 parcels</b>	<b>Estimated Total Cost:</b>	<b>\$5,627,855.88</b>
<b>Estimated ROW Cost:</b>	<b>\$152,000.00</b>	<b>Estimated CST Time:</b>	<b>24 months</b>
<b>Rationale:</b> This alternative would replace the existing bridges over Little Waverly Creek and Waverly Creek in-place while utilizing I-95 as an off-site detour during construction. The off-site detour gross length required for this alternative would be approximately 31-miles from bridge end to bridge end. This alternative provides for the least amount of impact to environmental resources which includes stream, wetland, salt marsh, and protected species. This alternative would impact three parcels.			

<b>Alternative 2: Replacement in Existing Location with an On-Site Detour</b>			
<b>Estimated Property Impacts:</b>	<b>4 parcels</b>	<b>Estimated Total Cost:</b>	<b>\$9,321,939.83***</b>
<b>Estimated ROW Cost:</b>	<b>\$84,000.00</b>	<b>Estimated CST Time:</b>	<b>24 months</b>
<b>Rationale:</b> This alternative would close the existing bridges to traffic and provide an on-site detour during construction. The on-site detour would temporarily shift traffic west of the existing alignment and utilize temporary bridges downstream of the existing bridges. An on-site detour will increase additional environmental impacts such as salt marsh, stream, wetland, and protected species impacts which will increase 404 mitigation costs. This alternative would impact four parcels. This alternative is not recommended.			

\*\*\*This figure does not include a cost estimate for Section 404 mitigation.

<b>Alternative 3: West Alignment Shift - Replacement</b>			
<b>Estimated Property Impacts:</b>	<b>5 parcels</b>	<b>Estimated Total Cost:</b>	<b>\$6,741,199.85***</b>
<b>Estimated ROW Cost:</b>	<b>\$312,000.00</b>	<b>Estimated CST Time:</b>	<b>24 months</b>
<b>Rationale:</b> This alternative would permanently shift the alignment of SR 25 just west of the existing bridge locations for a length of approximately 0.95-miles. Traffic would be maintained on the existing alignment during construction. This alternative would lengthen the area of impact to the existing route, affecting five parcels for right-of-way acquisition. This alternative is not recommended.			

\*\*\*This figure does not include a cost estimate for Section 404 mitigation.

<b>Alternative 4: East Alignment Shift - Replacement</b>			
<b>Estimated Property Impacts:</b>	<b>6 parcels</b>	<b>Estimated Total Cost:</b>	<b>\$7,292,940.21***</b>
<b>Estimated ROW Cost:</b>	<b>\$237,000.00</b>	<b>Estimated CST Time:</b>	<b>24 months</b>
<b>Rationale:</b> This alternative would permanently shift the alignment of SR 25 just east of the existing bridge locations for a length of approximately 1.16-miles. Traffic would be maintained on the existing alignment during construction. This alternative, similar to Alternative 3 above, would lengthen the area of impact to the existing route, affecting six parcels for right-of-way acquisition. This alternative is not recommended.			

\*\*\*This figure does not include a cost estimate for Section 404 mitigation.

**Environmental Assessment of the Proposed Detour Route:** No modifications of the existing roadways associated with the detour, other than the placement of temporary signage to identify the detour route and closure of SR 25 at the bridges, are proposed.

**Local Officials Detour Impact Survey Letters:** On November 20, 2017, the Office of Program Delivery sent letters to several local officials to inform them of the proposed project detour and solicit their input concerning impacts to delivering local services. See attached sample letter. The officials contacted were the Camden County Administrator, Camden County EMA Director, and Camden County Schools Transportation Director.

Three surveys were returned by Harvey Amerson (Camden County Public Works Director), Mark Crews (Camden County EMA Director and Fire Rescue Chief), and Mike Blackerby (Camden County Schools Director of Operations). In the comments, all respondents expressed concerns about emergency response time delays and bus route revisions.

Additional follow up took place on 7-12-2018 with Camden County Administrator, EMS, and School on off-site detour. The general consensus from this meeting that everyone understands and on-board with the off-site detour.

**Public Detour Open House Results:** The Public Detour Open House was held at Camden County Historic Courthouse in Woodbine, GA., On Thursday October 25, 2015 from 4:00 to 6:00pm. There was a total of 38 attendees including Camden County Commissioner District 1 Lannie Brant, County Administrator Steve Howard, and Deputy County Administrator Shawn Boatright. Discussions between the GDOT staff and local officials did not reveal any detour concerns. Two comments were received, and no changes were made to the detour as a result of the open house. Please see the attached Detour Open House Comment Cards, Transcript, and Synopsis.

**Recommendations:**

Concur: Hyal Pikel  
GDOT Director of Engineering


Approve: Margaret S. Pikel 5/10/19  
GDOT Chief Engineer Date

KWN:CLB:SHP:DDC:ASG

**Attachments:**

- Project Location Map
- Sketch Map of Detour Route Proposed including Length of Detour
- Sample Local Official Detour Survey Impact Letter
- Detour Open House Comment Cards, Transcript, Synopsis
- Notice of Detour Approval
- Meeting Minutes Coordination Meeting with EMS, School, and County Administrator

## PROJECT LOCATION MAP



## GDOT PI. 0013739 Camden County SR25 @ Little Waverly & Waverly Creek N of Woodbine

0 1 2 4 6 Miles











November 20, 2017

Steve L. Howard, County Administrator  
[showard@co.camden.ga.us](mailto:showard@co.camden.ga.us)  
Camden County Administration  
200 East 4th Street  
P.O. Box 99  
Woodbine, GA 31569

RE: Request for Comments on GDOT Bridge Replacement Project  
PI 0013739, Camden County  
SR 25 @ LITTLE WAVERLY CREEK; @ WAVERLY CREEK N OF WOODBINE

Dear Mr. Howard:

The Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT) is performing early coordination for the above referenced project. Planning and environmental studies are just being initiated and physical construction activities are not anticipated until calendar year 2020 or beyond. During this phase, alternatives are being evaluated for replacing the bridge. Potential alternatives include both on and off-site detours. Off-site detours require road closures that may last up to 12 months, but may reduce the overall construction duration of the project as well as limit the footprint of the project.

The purpose of this letter is to solicit your input concerning the potential impact of closing the road and detouring traffic. A vicinity map is attached illustrating the location of the project and a proposed detour route. To allow us to fully evaluate the concerns of all interested stakeholders, please promptly complete the attached form either by completing the online survey located at the following website address;

County Administrator Survey Link <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/RCYLGR>

or by completing the attached paper form and sending it to the attention of the Project Manager listed below:

Aghdas S. Ghazi, P.E.  
AGhazi@dot.ga.gov  
Georgia Department of Transportation  
Office of Program Delivery  
One Georgia Center, 25th Floor  
600 West Peachtree Street  
Atlanta, GA 30308

PI 0013739, Camden  
**Georgia Department of Transportation**  
**Bridge Replacement Project**

It is the Department's desire to identify and address local stakeholder concerns early in the plan development process in order to assess alternatives. If you have any questions or comments concerning this project, please contact Aghdas Ghazi at (912) 659-0984 or [AGhazi@dot.ga.gov](mailto:AGhazi@dot.ga.gov). Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Sean H. Pharr  
Program Delivery Bridge Program Manager

AVS:KWN:CLB:ASG  
Attachment

cc: Albert V. Shelby, III, Director of Program Delivery  
Kimberly Nesbitt, State Program Delivery Administrator  
Chandria Brown, Asst. State Program Delivery Administrator  
General Files



PI 0013739, Camden County  
**Georgia Department of Transportation**  
**Bridge Replacement Project**  
**Detour Impact Form for County Administrator**

Using the attached project map, please respond to the questions below. Please provide as much information as you feel is necessary. Please respond to all questions – use “N/A” or “Unknown” if no relevant information to question is available. If you need additional information or mapping for this project, please contact us.

1. Please quantify the number of impacts anticipated by an off-site detour.

Daily Number of vehicles \_\_\_\_\_

Daily Number of Trucks \_\_\_\_\_

Number of Residences \_\_\_\_\_

Number of Businesses \_\_\_\_\_

Detour Length \_\_\_\_\_

2. Please rate the impact on service if the bridge were closed for up to a year?

☐ No Concerns    ☐ Moderate Concerns    ☐ Major Concerns

3. If concerns were identified, please specify what they are below, be as specific as possible (Conditions of detour route, location of students, new development expected, weight restrictions, etc.)

---

---

---

4. Are there any future time periods or events that you know of where bridge closure would be of particular concern? Please note the event and any details you are familiar with.

---

---

---

5. Is there anyone you feel we should contact specifically regarding this project? Please note their name, phone number, and reason we should contact them?

---

---

---

6. Are there any additional comments you have regarding the project? Are the road names referenced the names the locals would use?

---

---

---

Form Completed by (Name): \_\_\_\_\_

(Title): \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_



August 4, 2017

Mr. Mark Crews, Emergency Management Director  
Camden County Emergency Management Department  
131 N. Lee St.  
Kingsland, GA 31548  
[mcrews@co.camden.ga.us](mailto:mcrews@co.camden.ga.us)

RE: Request for Comments on GDOT Bridge Replacement Project  
PI 0013739, Camden County  
SR 25 @ LITTLE WAVERLY CREEK & @ WAVERLY CREEK N OF WOODBINE

Dear Mr. Crews:

The Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT) is performing early coordination for the above referenced project. Planning and environmental studies are just being initiated and physical construction activities are not anticipated until calendar year 2020 or beyond. During this phase, alternatives are being evaluated for replacing the bridge. Potential alternatives include both on and off-site detours. Off-site detours require road closures that may last up to 12 months, but may reduce the overall construction duration of the project as well as limit the footprint of the project.

The purpose of this letter is to solicit your input concerning the potential impact of closing the road and detouring traffic. A vicinity map is attached illustrating the location of the project. To allow us to fully evaluate the concerns of all interested stakeholders, please promptly complete the attached form either by completing the online survey located at the following website address;

EMS Survey Link <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/WSVSJQM>,

or by completing the attached paper form and sending it to the attention of the Project Manager listed below:

Jeff Henry, PE  
[JHenry@dot.ga.gov](mailto:JHenry@dot.ga.gov)  
Georgia Department of Transportation  
Office of Program Delivery  
One Georgia Center, 25<sup>th</sup> Floor  
600 West Peachtree Street  
Atlanta, GA 30308

PI 0013739, Camden County  
**Georgia Department of Transportation**  
**Bridge Replacement Project**  
August 4, 2017  
Page 2 of 2

It is the Department's desire to identify and address local stakeholder concerns early in the plan development process in order to assess alternatives. If you have any questions or comments concerning this project, please contact Jeff Henry at (404) 663-8649 or [JHenry@dot.ga.gov](mailto:JHenry@dot.ga.gov). Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'SHP' or similar, with a stylized flourish at the end.

Sean H. Pharr  
Program Delivery Bridge Program Manager

AVS:KWN:CLB:JCH  
Attachment

cc: Albert V. Shelby, III, Director of Program Delivery  
Kimberly Nesbitt, State Program Delivery Administrator  
Chandria Brown, Asst. State Program Delivery Administrator  
General Files



PI 0013739, Camden County  
**Georgia Department of Transportation**  
**Bridge Replacement Project**  
**Detour Impact Form for EMS**

Using the attached project map, please respond to the questions below. Please provide as much information as you feel is necessary. Please respond to all questions – use “N/A” or “Non-known” if no relevant information to question is available. If additional information or mapping for this project is needed, please contact us.

1. Please rate the impact to Emergency Response services if the bridge were closed for up to a year.

☐ No Impact      ☐ Low Impact      ☐ Moderate Impact      ☐ High Impact

2. If there are concerns please specify. Be as specific as possible. (examples: condition of detour routes, located in a high call volume area, closure could affect response to schools, weight restrictions, expected new development in the area, coordination with partner agency required to facilitate service)

---

---

---

3. Are there any future time periods or events that you know of where bridge closure would be of particular concern? Please note the event and any details you are familiar with.

---

---

---

4. Is there anyone you feel we should contact specifically regarding this project? Please note their name, contact information, and reason we should contact them?

---

---

---

5. Are there any additional comments you have for this project? Are the road names referenced the names the locals would use?

---

---

---

Form Completed by (Name): \_\_\_\_\_

(Title): \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_



August 4, 2017

Dr. William Hardin, Superintendent  
Camden County Board of Education  
311 S. East St  
Kingsland, GA 31548  
[whardin@camden.k12.ga.us](mailto:whardin@camden.k12.ga.us)

RE: Request for Comments on GDOT Bridge Replacement Project  
PI 0013739, Camden County  
SR 25 @ LITTLE WAVERLY CREEK & @ WAVERLY CREEK N OF WOODBINE

Dear Dr. Hardin:

The Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT) is performing early coordination for the above referenced project. Planning and environmental studies are just being initiated and physical construction activities are not anticipated until calendar year 2020 or beyond. During this phase, alternatives are being evaluated for replacing the bridge. Potential alternatives include both on and off-site detours. Off-site detours require road closures that may last up to 12 months, but may reduce the overall construction duration of the project as well as limit the footprint of the project.

The purpose of this letter is to solicit your input concerning the potential impact of closing the road and detouring traffic. A vicinity map is attached illustrating the location of the project. To allow us to fully evaluate the concerns of all interested stakeholders, please promptly complete the attached form either by completing the online survey located at the following website address;

School Board Survey Link <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/R85MX6K;>

or by completing the attached paper form and sending it to the attention of the Project Manager listed below:

Jeff Henry, PE  
[JHenry@dot.ga.gov](mailto:JHenry@dot.ga.gov)  
Georgia Department of Transportation  
Office of Program Delivery  
One Georgia Center, 25<sup>th</sup> Floor  
600 West Peachtree Street  
Atlanta, GA 30308

PI 0013739, Camden County  
**Georgia Department of Transportation**  
**Bridge Replacement Project**  
August 4, 2017  
Page 2 of 2

It is the Department's desire to identify and address local stakeholder concerns early in the plan development process in order to assess alternatives. If you have any questions or comments concerning this project, please contact Jeff Henry at (404) 663-8649 or [JHenry@dot.ga.gov](mailto:JHenry@dot.ga.gov). Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,



Sean H. Pharr  
Program Delivery Bridge Program Manager

AVS:KWN:CLB:JCH  
Attachment

cc: Albert V. Shelby, III, Director of Program Delivery  
Kimberly Nesbitt, State Program Delivery Administrator  
Chandria Brown, Asst. State Program Delivery Administrator  
Mike Blackerby, Camden County Schools Operations Director ([jblackerby@camden.k12.ga.us](mailto:jblackerby@camden.k12.ga.us))  
General Files



PI 0013739, Camden County  
**Georgia Department of Transportation**  
**Bridge Replacement Project**  
**Detour Impact Form for School Board**

Using the attached project map, please respond to the questions below. Please provide as much information as you feel is necessary. Please respond to all questions – use “N/A” or “Non-known” if no relevant information to question is available. If you need additional information or mapping for this project, please contact us.

1. How many School Buses crossings over this bridge are there per day?

Number of Buses \_\_\_\_\_ Number of Trips \_\_\_\_\_

2. Please rate the impact on service if the bridge were closed for up to a year?

☐ No Concerns                      ☐ Moderate Concerns    ☐ Major Concerns

3. If concerns were identified, please specify what they are below, be as specific as possible (Conditions of detour route, location of students, new development expected, weight restrictions, etc.)

---

---

---

4. Are there any future time periods or events that you know of where bridge closure would be of particular concern? Please note the event and any details you are familiar with.

---

---

---

5. Is there anyone you feel we should contact specifically regarding this project? Please note their name, phone number, and reason we should contact them?

---

---

---

6. Are there any additional comments you have regarding the project? Are the road names referenced the names the locals would use?

---

---

---

Form Completed by (Name): \_\_\_\_\_

(Title): \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

1           My name is Richard Smith. My address is  
2           389 Horsestamp Church Road, Waverly. I'm more  
3           concerned on the front deck. The two concerns I  
4           have is -- one is that the sheriff's department  
5           is located in Woodbine. I live in Waverly on  
6           the other side of all three bridges and with all  
7           the rerouting, how quick will a deputy be able  
8           to get to my house when there's no direct path  
9           anymore? That is my biggest concern. Plus, I  
10          have a wife.

11          The other one is: When there's an  
12          accident on 95 between Exit 14 and 22, it will  
13          reroute all of the traffic. They usually  
14          reroute it down 17. Y'all are going to have all  
15          of these out-of-staters with these detours going  
16          through the middle of nowhere. That's the  
17          bigger traffic on the smaller roads is the  
18          concern. Those are my two concerns. Mainly,  
19          sheriff on this is the biggest one.

20          My name is Terry Landreth, 210 North  
21          Woodvalley Drive, Kingsland, Georgia, 31548 is  
22          the ZIP Code. My preference for the project,  
23          I'm in full support of it.

24          I would just like to add a comment to the  
25          project. U.S. 17 is part of bike route 95 and

1 long-distance cyclists are not given preference  
2 on a detour outside of I-95. So I would like to  
3 have detour signs placed for long-distance  
4 cyclists coming through U.S. 17, south and north  
5 in Camden County. That's it.

6 (Comments concluded.)  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25



# NOTICE OF DETOUR APPROVAL

## **CAMDEN COUNTY**

**P. I. No. 0013739**

Notice is hereby given that the Georgia Department of Transportation has approved the use of and the routing of a detour for this project.

The date of detour approval is: May 20, 2019

The project is located on SR 25 north of Woodbine over Little Waverly Creek and Waverly Creek in Camden County. The existing bridges and approaches will be reconstructed on the existing alignment. The roadway will be closed during construction.

The detour route would utilize SR 25 Spur eastbound from the intersection with SR 25/US 17 in Woodbine; continuing east on SR 25 Spur, a two-lane roadway; and then continuing north on SR 405/I-95, a six-lane divided roadway, to return to SR 25. The detour length for through traffic between Waverly and Woodbine would be approximately 27.5 miles. The total detour length from the beginning of the project area to the end of the project area would be approximately 36.5 miles. It is estimated the detour would be in place from 18 to 24 months.

Drawings or maps or plats of the proposed project, as approved, are on file and are available for public inspection at the Georgia Department of Transportation:

Contact name: Sean Pharr, P.E.  
E-mail: spharr@dot.ga.gov  
Address: Office of Program Delivery  
600 West Peachtree Street  
25<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Atlanta, Georgia 30308  
Telephone: (404) 245-6084

**Camden County Coordination Meeting Minutes**  
**PI 0013738 - SR 25 @ Whiteoak Creek 5 MI N of Woodbine**  
**PI 0013739 - SR 25 @ Little Waverly Creek & @ Waverly Creek N of Woodbine**

**Project:** PI Nos 0013738 & 0013739 Camden County  
SR 25 @ Whiteoak Creek 5 Miles N of Woodbine  
SR 25 @ Little Waverly Creek & @ Waverly Creek N of Woodbine

**Subject:** Camden County Coordination Meeting Conference Call

**Date:** July 12, 2018

**Attendees:** Aghdas Ghazi, GDOT – OPD  
Zach Adriaenssens, GDOT – OES  
Johnny Lee, Barge Design Solutions, Inc. (Barge)  
Jeff Vickery, Barge Design Solutions, Inc.  
Josh Earhart, Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc. (EPEI)  
Taylor Jordan, Edwards-Pitman Environmental, Inc.  
Shawn Boatright – Camden County Administration  
Charles Lowther – Camden County Fire Rescue  
Tina Ingles – Camden County Fire Rescue  
Mark Lain – Camden County Fire Rescue  
Chuck White – Camden County EMA  
Lisa Boyett – Camden County EMA

Minutes Prepared by Jeff Vickery on July 12, 2018

---

**Introductions and Meeting Purpose**

The purpose of this conference call was to provide an overview of the proposed bridge replacement projects for PI 0013738 and PI 0013739 with Camden County Administration, Fire Rescue, and EMA officials.

**General Discussion**

- Johnny Lee (Barge) opened the conversation by providing a brief overview and current status of the projects.
- Chuck White (EMA) pointed out that on a previous coordination letter only Whiteoak Creek and Little Waverly Creek were referenced and wondered where the third bridge discussed was located.
  - Johnny Lee clarified that PI 0013739 included two bridges over Little Waverly Creek and Waverly Creek.
- Chuck White (Fire Rescue) asked what the expected construction sequence would be?

- Johnny Lee (Barge) responded that the expectation at this point is to close Whiteoak first then Waverly/Little Waverly. For Waverly/Little Waverly, only one bridge can be closed at a time to maintain access for the locals.
- Charles Lowther (Fire Rescue) asked what was the timeline for completion?
  - Aghdas Ghazi (GDOT) and Johnny Lee (Barge) responded that the length of construction at this time was expected to be 18-24 months if both projects were let together but could potentially be shortened after the Preliminary Field Plan Review.
- Shawn Boatright (Administration) stated that the residents who live in the area will want to know the construction timeframes of each bridge.
- Tina Ingles (Fire Rescue) asked if the entire roadway would be closed at any time or if one lane would remain open, etc.?
  - Johnny Lee responded that the entire bridge would be closed as the existing bridge would need to be removed at one time in order to construct a new bridge in the same location.
  - Josh Earhart (EPEI) and Aghdas Ghazi (GDOT) added that even though the recommended posted detour route would have to utilize State Routes, that locals would be free to use other available local roads and would not be restricted to just the posted detour.
- Josh Earhart (EPEI) asked for clarification on what is emergency services response protocol in this area. Would one station respond for the entire route?
  - Tina Ingles (Fire Rescue) responded that the Whiteoak Creek bridge is the district dividing line for response protocol, but for structure fires they have apparatus that is unique to a particular station, but they can plan for and route resources as needed to cover additional calls.
- Shawn Boatright (Administration) asked if the projects are expected to have a great deal of environmental impact and stated that he imagined an off-site detour would help reduce impact.
  - Josh Earhart (EPEI) replied that is correct. Using the off-site detour significantly reduces the environmental impact to salt marsh.
- Johnny Lee (Barge) concluded the meeting by thanking everyone for attending the call and encouraged Camden County officials to reach out anytime with questions and to join the monthly project status calls.

### **Follow-up Meeting**

No additional follow-up coordination meeting is scheduled at this time apart from the regular project status meetings.

These minutes are based upon the notes and recollection of the author. Any additions or corrections should be brought to Barge Design Solutions' immediate attention.